UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,353	07/06/2007	07/06/2007 Yoshito Katano		9812
	7590 12/24/200 EIN NATH & ROSEN	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 0610	080	WILSON, YOLANDA L		
CHICAGO, IL	VE STATION, SEAR 60606-1080	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2113	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		12/24/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No. Applicant(s)							
		10/597,353		KATANO ET AL.					
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Yolanda L. V		2113				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appe	ears on the c	over sheet with the d	correspondence ac	ldress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN THE INSIGN OF	MAILING DA ⁻ s of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, c	TE OF THIS 6(a). In no event. Il apply and will ecause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tin xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 12 Dec	cember 200	R					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 December 2008</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
—		<i>′</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is			
٥/١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the a	nnlication							
	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.								
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
•	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or	election rea	uirement					
		onon ana, or	0.000.011.104						
	on Papers								
-	The specification is objected to by the								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	-	-	-					
	Applicant may not request that any obje								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachmen									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:									

Application/Control Number: 10/597,353 Page 2

Art Unit: 2113

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1,2,8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Harding et al. (US Publication Number 20030005277A1). As per claims 1 and 8, Harding et al. discloses a semiconductor device configured to start-up by reading out a boot program from a data-rewritable nonvolatile memory, boot program instructions being stored in parallel in a plurality of blocks of the nonvolatile memory, the semiconductor device comprising: a CPU configured, in part, to specify a read position for reading out the boot program instructions stored in the nonvolatile memory at the starting time, and execute a start-up process according to the thus read-out boot program instructions; and a read control circuit configured to (a) determine whether a block corresponding to the read position is faulty or not according to data read out from the block, (b) output the data to the CPU if the block is determined as not faulty, and (c) read the data from another block storing the boot program instructions and determine whether the another block is faulty or not if the block is determined as faulty in paragraphs 0011,0014,0018. The read control circuit is the control logic.
- 3. As per claim 2, Harding et al. discloses wherein the read control circuit is configured to determine whether the block is faulty or not faulty at least according to an

Application/Control Number: 10/597,353 Page 3

Art Unit: 2113

error correction code contained in the data read out from the nonvolatile memory in paragraph 0018.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harding et al. in view of Hashimoto (JP57071508). As per claim 3, Harding et al. fails to explicitly state wherein the read control circuit corrects the data and supplies it to the CPU when it determines that the data is correctable according to the error correction code but otherwise determines that the block is faulty when it determines that the data is uncorrectable data.

Hashimoto discloses this limitation in the abstract.

Accordingly, a person of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to have the read control circuit correct the data and supplies it to the CPU when it determines that the data is correctable data according to the error correction code and determines that the block is faulty when it determines that the data is uncorrectable data. A person of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to have the read control circuit correct the data and supplies it to the CPU when it determines that the data is correctable data according to the error correction code and determines that the block is faulty when it

determines that the data is uncorrectable data because correcting data that is correctable and indicating data that is uncorrectable is a known technique.

6. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harding et al. in view of Dawson et al. (USPN 6311213). As per claim 4, Harding et al. fails to explicitly state wherein the read control circuit is configured to determine that the block is faulty or not faulty at least according to a block state information contained in the data read out from the nonvolatile memory.

Dawson et al. discloses this limitation in column 11, lines 23-27.

Accordingly, a person of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to have the read control circuit be configured to determine that the block is faulty or not faulty at least according to a block state information contained in the data read out from the nonvolatile memory. A person of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to have the read control circuit be configured to determine that the block is faulty or not faulty at least according to a block state information contained in the data read out from the nonvolatile memory because Dawson et al. discloses being able to verify metadata. Metadata is the equivalent of block state information, but metadata is used for data stored in storage volumes; therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to verify block state information as means to determine that the block is faulty.

7. As per claim 5, Harding et al. fails to explicitly state wherein the read control circuit determines that the block is faulty when the block state information does not show a predetermined value.

Dawson et al. discloses this limitation in column 11, lines 23-27.

Accordingly, a person of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to the read control circuit determines that the block is faulty when the block state information does not show a predetermined value. A person of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to have the read control circuit determines that the block is faulty when the block state information does not show a predetermined value because Dawson et al. discloses being able to verify metadata. Metadata is the equivalent of block state information, but metadata is used for data stored in storage volumes; therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to verify block state information as means to determine that the block is faulty.

8. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harding et al. in view of Dawson et al. (USPN 6311213) in further view of Kim (USPN 6587915B1). As per claim 6, Harding et al. and Dawson et al. fails to explicitly state wherein the block state information is stored in a leading page of each of the blocks storing boot program instructions.

Kim discloses this limitations in column 4, lines 59-64.

Accordingly, a person of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to have wherein the block state information is stored in a leading page of each of the blocks storing the boot program. A person of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to have wherein the block state information is stored in a leading page of each of the blocks storing the boot program because storing block state information in the leading page is a known technique for storing this information.

9. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harding et al. in view of Hashimoto (JP57071508) in further view of Aasheim et al. (USPN 7178061B2). As per claim 7, Harding et al. and Hashimoto fail to explicitly state wherein the nonvolatile memory is a NAND type flash memory.

Aasheim et al. discloses this limitation in column 9, lines 20-25.

Accordingly, a person of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to have the nonvolatile memory is a NAND type flash memory. A person of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to have the nonvolatile memory is a NAND type flash memory because NAND type flash memory is a known type of non-volatile memory used for storing boot programs.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The newly added limitations to the claims required a new reference to be found. Please see the above rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yolanda L. Wilson whose telephone number is (571) 272-3653. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on (571) 272-3645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/597,353 Page 7

Art Unit: 2113

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Yolanda L Wilson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2113